

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,426	03/01/2004	Jacques Dumas	BAYER-0044	4965
23599 MILLEN WH	7590 10/09/200 ITE, ZELANO & BRA		EXAM	IINER
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.		ROBINSON	ROBINSON, BINTA M	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THE HOLOT	,	1625	•	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/788,426	DUMAS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BINTA M. ROBINSON	1625	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>15 Se</u>	eptember 2009 FAILS TO PLACE TH	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.
------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. A brief Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 September 2009. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENT:	S

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, first paragraph rejections of claims 20 and 31.
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
- how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed:
 - Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.15-17.22 and 24-30.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: .

/Janet L. Andres/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1625

/Rinta M Robinson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625 The 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1-13, 15-17, 22, 24-30 is maintained, because the applicant does not supply any data regarding the efficacy of the compounds when B is other than pyridinyl or napthyl. Additionally, the applicant has not shown but merely asserted inhibition of raf kinase is correlated with all of the myriad of cancers claimed in claim 15, so the efficacy of a representative portion of the claimed genus of compounds on the claimed cancers is unclear and uncertain and not enabled. It is also not established in the art to prevent any of the claimed genus densers in claim 15. These compounds have never been synthesized before, and since the applicant is claiming thousands of compounds that vary at the A and B, L, M, and Q moieties, the experimentation would be quite undue to make and use the claimed compounds.